

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	D. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/849,181 05/20/2004		Masatsugu Yokote	023971-0422	7250		
22428	7590	05/23/2006		EXAMINER		
FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP				LUM VANNUCCI, LEE SIN YEE		
SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20007				3611		

DATE MAILED: 05/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
·	10/849,181	YOKOTE, MASATSUGU	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lee Lum	3611	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>0.</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ 1 Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under	This action is non-final. wance except for formal materials		٠.
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withe 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 6-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2-5 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docum 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docum 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in a priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗖 Jako	Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No	s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/849,181

Art Unit: 3611

DETAILED ACTION

1. An After-final Request for Reconsideration was filed 5/3/06.

Upon reconsideration, Examiner provides new rejections below, and <u>sincerely</u> <u>apologizes for the inconvenience.</u>

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 6-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kurishige et al 6736236 in view of Adler et al 5469928.

Kurishige discloses a steering apparatus for controlling left and right wheels of a vehicle comprising

Steering wheel 1/101 (figs 24,1),

Power steering device 10 (fig 24),

Steering mechanism/rack-and-pinion system 8 (fig 24),

Steering reaction force calculating section (exemplified in c7, ln 29-30; including determination of force "T-tran"),

Steering force correcting section to correct the steering assistance force so as to compensate the steering reaction force (c7, ln 7-15).

The reference does not disclose individual driving means for the left and right drive wheels, nor determination of drive torque. Adler shows this configuration with

Application/Control Number: 10/849,181

Art Unit: 3611

motors 8,9 driving wheels 4,6, controlled by control section/controller 18, in accordance with a running condition of the vehicle (e.g., c3, ln 45-46),

Page 3

wherein a difference between the driving forces is determined, as provided (at least) in c4, In 9-10; "difference in driving torques".

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include this alternate driving arrangement, as shown in Adler, so to provide the proper determination of steering assistance force, thus increase accuracy of the steering reaction system. Utilization of Individual motors for drive wheels is known, and since motor torque affects the force, and feel, of the steering wheel (the motors and steering wheel being mechanically coupled to each other), it would have been obvious to include these factors into the steering assist system.

Re Claim 8, the references disclose a process for controlling left and right wheels of a vehicle, the steps derived from the structure/means described above.

- 3. The prior art considered pertinent, but not relied upon, includes: Diaz Carmena et al 6871715.
- 4. Claims 2-5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Prior art does not disclose the invention above further comprising, *inter alia*, the steering force correcting section as decreasing the steering assist force when the driving force section increases the force of the outer drive wheel outside of a turning radius.

· Art Unit: 3611

5. RESPONSE TO REMARKS

Upon reconsideration, new rejections are provided, and apologies are extended. Kurishige in view of Adler obviates the respective limitations, where the latter teaches a known alternative drive configuration including individual motors for the drive wheels.

Page 4

Applicant is asked to note allowable subject matter.

6. Communication with the Examiner/USPTO

Any inquiry concerning this communication, or others, should be directed to Ms. Lum at 571 272 6649, M-F, 9-5. If she can't be reached, her supervisor, Ms. Lesley Morris, may be reached at 571 272 6651. Our fax number is 571 273 8300.

Information re the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) System as follows: for unpublished apps - private PAIR only, for published apps - private or public PAIR. For more info on PAIR - http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. For more info on private PAIR - call the Electronic Business Center at 866 217 9197.

Ms. Lee Lum-Vannucci

Examiner 5/19/06